Introduction to Algorithms 6.046J/18.401J



LECTURE 3 Divide and Conquer

- Binary search
- Matrix multiplication
- Strassen's algorithm

Prof. Erik D. Demaine

September 14, 2005

Copyright © 2001-5 Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson



The divide-and-conquer design paradigm

- **1.** *Divide* the problem (instance) into subproblems.
- 2. *Conquer* the subproblems by solving them recursively.
- 3. *Combine* subproblem solutions.



Merge sort

1. Divide: Trivial.

- 2. *Conquer:* Recursively sort 2 subarrays.
- 3. *Combine:* Linear-time merge.



Merge sort

1. Divide: Trivial. 2. *Conquer:* Recursively sort 2 subarrays. **3.** Combine: Linear-time merge. T(n) = 2T(n) $\Theta(n)$ work dividing # subproblems and combining subproblem size

L2.4



Master theorem (reprise)

T(n) = a T(n/b) + f(n)

CASE 1: $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$, constant $\varepsilon > 0$ $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$.

CASE 2: $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^k n)$, constant $k \ge 0$ $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^{k+1} n)$.

CASE 3: $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$, constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and regularity condition $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$.



Master theorem (reprise)

T(n) = a T(n/b) + f(n)

CASE 1: $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a} - \varepsilon)$, constant $\varepsilon > 0$ $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$.

CASE 2: $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^k n)$, constant $k \ge 0$ $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^{k+1} n)$.

CASE 3: $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$, constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and regularity condition $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$.

Merge sort: $a = 2, b = 2 \implies n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n$ $\implies CASE 2 (k = 0) \implies T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$.

September 14, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson

L2.6



Find an element in a sorted array: *1. Divide:* Check middle element. *2. Conquer:* Recursively search 1 subarray. *3. Combine:* Trivial.



Find an element in a sorted array: *1. Divide:* Check middle element. *2. Conquer:* Recursively search 1 subarray. *3. Combine:* Trivial.

 Example: Find 9

 3
 5
 7
 8
 9
 12
 15



Find an element in a sorted array: *1. Divide:* Check middle element. *2. Conquer:* Recursively search 1 subarray. *3. Combine:* Trivial.

 Example: Find 9

 3
 5
 7
 8
 9
 12
 15



Example: Find 9

Find an element in a sorted array: *1. Divide:* Check middle element. *2. Conquer:* Recursively search 1 subarray. *3. Combine:* Trivial.

3 5 7 8 9 12 15



Example: Find 9

Find an element in a sorted array: *1. Divide:* Check middle element. *2. Conquer:* Recursively search 1 subarray. *3. Combine:* Trivial.

3 5 7 8 9 <u>12</u> 15

L2.11



Find an element in a sorted array: *1. Divide:* Check middle element. *2. Conquer:* Recursively search 1 subarray. *3. Combine:* Trivial. *Example:* Find 9

3 5 7 8 9 12 15



Find an element in a sorted array: *1. Divide:* Check middle element. *2. Conquer:* Recursively search 1 subarray. *3. Combine:* Trivial.

Example: Find 9 3 5 7 8 9 12 15





```
n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 1} = n^0 = 1 \implies \text{CASE 2} (k = 0)
\implies T(n) = \Theta(\lg n) .
```



Matrix multiplication

Input: $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}].$ **Output:** $C = [c_{ij}] = A \cdot B.$ i, j = 1, 2, ..., n.

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$$

September 14, 2005

Copyright © 2001-5 Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson

L2.27



Standard algorithm

for $i \leftarrow 1$ to ndo for $j \leftarrow 1$ to ndo $c_{ij} \leftarrow 0$ for $k \leftarrow 1$ to ndo $c_{ij} \leftarrow c_{ij} + a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$



Standard algorithm

for $i \leftarrow 1$ to ndo for $j \leftarrow 1$ to ndo $c_{ij} \leftarrow 0$ for $k \leftarrow 1$ to ndo $c_{ij} \leftarrow c_{ij} + a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$

Running time = $\Theta(n^3)$



Divide-and-conquer algorithm

IDEA: $n \times n$ matrix = 2×2 matrix of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ - & - \\ t & u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ - & - \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ - & - \\ g & h \end{bmatrix}$$
$$C = A \cdot B$$

r = ae + bg s = af + bh t = ce + dg u = cf + dh

8 mults of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices 4 adds of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices



Divide-and-conquer algorithm

IDEA: $n \times n$ matrix = 2×2 matrix of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -t & u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -t & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ -t & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = A \cdot B$$

r = ae + bg s = af + bh t = ce + dh u = cf + dg

<u>recursive</u> 8 mults of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices 4 adds of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices





 $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 8} = n^3 \implies \mathbf{CASE} \ 1 \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^3).$



 $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 8} = n^3 \implies \mathbf{CASE} \ 1 \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^3).$

No better than the ordinary algorithm.

September 14, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson L2.34





$$P_{1} = a \cdot (f - h)$$

$$P_{2} = (a + b) \cdot h$$

$$P_{3} = (c + d) \cdot e$$

$$P_{4} = d \cdot (g - e)$$

$$P_{5} = (a + d) \cdot (e + h)$$

$$P_{6} = (b - d) \cdot (g + h)$$

$$P_{7} = (a - c) \cdot (e + f)$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} P_{1} = a \cdot (f - h) & r = P_{5} + P_{4} - P_{2} + P_{6} \\ P_{2} = (a + b) \cdot h & s = P_{1} + P_{2} \\ P_{3} = (c + d) \cdot e & t = P_{3} + P_{4} \\ P_{4} = d \cdot (g - e) & u = P_{5} + P_{1} - P_{3} - P_{7} \\ P_{5} = (a + d) \cdot (e + h) \\ P_{6} = (b - d) \cdot (g + h) \\ P_{7} = (a - c) \cdot (e + f) \end{array}$$



• Multiply 2×2 matrices with only 7 recursive mults.

$$P_{1} = a \cdot (f - h)$$

$$P_{2} = (a + b) \cdot h$$

$$P_{3} = (c + d) \cdot e$$

$$P_{4} = d \cdot (g - e)$$

$$P_{5} = (a + d) \cdot (e + h)$$

$$P_{6} = (b - d) \cdot (g + h)$$

$$P_{7} = (a - c) \cdot (e + f)$$

$$r = P_{5} + P_{4} - P_{2} + P_{6}$$

$$s = P_{1} + P_{2}$$

$$t = P_{3} + P_{4}$$

$$u = P_{5} + P_{1} - P_{3} - P_{7}$$

7 mults, 18 adds/subs. **Note:** No reliance on commutativity of mult!



$$P_{1} = a \cdot (f - h)$$

$$P_{2} = (a + b) \cdot h$$

$$P_{3} = (c + d) \cdot e$$

$$P_{4} = d \cdot (g - e)$$

$$P_{5} = (a + d) \cdot (e + h)$$

$$P_{6} = (b - d) \cdot (g + h)$$

$$P_{7} = (a - c) \cdot (e + f)$$

$$r = P_{5} + P_{4} - P_{2} + P_{6}$$

= $(a + d)(e + h)$
+ $d(g - e) - (a + b)h$
+ $(b - d)(g + h)$
= $ae + ah + de + dh$
+ $dg - de - ah - bh$
+ $bg + bh - dg - dh$
= $ae + bg$



Strassen's algorithm

- **1.** *Divide:* Partition *A* and *B* into $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices. Form terms to be multiplied using + and -.
- 2. *Conquer:* Perform 7 multiplications of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices recursively.
- 3. Combine: Form C using + and on $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices.



Strassen's algorithm

- **1.** *Divide:* Partition *A* and *B* into $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices. Form terms to be multiplied using + and -.
- 2. Conquer: Perform 7 multiplications of $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices recursively.
- 3. Combine: Form C using + and on $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ submatrices.

$$T(n) = 7 T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$$



 $T(n) = 7 T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$



$T(n) = 7 T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$

$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81} \implies \mathbf{CASE} \ 1 \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_1 7}).$



 $T(n) = 7 T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$

 $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81} \implies \mathbf{CASE} \ 1 \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 7}).$

The number 2.81 may not seem much smaller than 3, but because the difference is in the exponent, the impact on running time is significant. In fact, Strassen's algorithm beats the ordinary algorithm on today's machines for $n \ge 32$ or so.



 $T(n) = 7 T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$

 $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81} \implies \mathbf{CASE} \ 1 \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 7}).$

The number 2.81 may not seem much smaller than 3, but because the difference is in the exponent, the impact on running time is significant. In fact, Strassen's algorithm beats the ordinary algorithm on today's machines for $n \ge 32$ or so.

Best to date (of theoretical interest only): $\Theta(n^{2.376\cdots})$.

September 14, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson L2.45



Conclusion

- Divide and conquer is just one of several powerful techniques for algorithm design.
- Divide-and-conquer algorithms can be analyzed using recurrences and the master method (so practice this math).
- The divide-and-conquer strategy often leads to efficient algorithms.

Introduction to Algorithms 6.046J/18.401J



LECTURE 4 Quicksort

- Divide and conquer
- Partitioning
- Worst-case analysis
- Intuition
- Randomized quicksort
- Analysis

Prof. Charles E. Leiserson

September 21, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson


Quicksort

- Proposed by C.A.R. Hoare in 1962.
- Divide-and-conquer algorithm.
- Sorts "in place" (like insertion sort, but not like merge sort).
- Very practical (with tuning).



Divide and conquer

Quicksort an *n*-element array:

1. Divide: Partition the array into two subarrays around a *pivot* x such that elements in lower subarray $\leq x \leq$ elements in upper subarray.



Conquer: Recursively sort the two subarrays.
Combine: Trivial.

Key: *Linear-time partitioning subroutine.*



Partitioning subroutine

PARTITION $(A, p, q) \triangleright A[p \dots q]$ $x \leftarrow A[p]$ \triangleright pivot = A[p]Running time $i \leftarrow p$ = O(n) for nfor $i \leftarrow p + 1$ to q elements. do if $A[j] \leq x$ then $i \leftarrow i + 1$ exchange $A[i] \leftrightarrow A[j]$ exchange $A[p] \leftrightarrow A[i]$ return *i*





















































Pseudocode for quicksort

QUICKSORT(A, p, r) **if** p < r **then** $q \leftarrow \text{PARTITION}(A, p, r)$ QUICKSORT(A, p, q-1) QUICKSORT(A, q+1, r)

Initial call: QUICKSORT(A, 1, n)



Analysis of quicksort

- Assume all input elements are distinct.
- In practice, there are better partitioning algorithms for when duplicate input elements may exist.
- Let T(n) = worst-case running time on an array of n elements.



Worst-case of quicksort

- Input sorted or reverse sorted.
- Partition around min or max element.
- One side of partition always has no elements.

$$T(n) = T(0) + T(n-1) + \Theta(n)$$

= $\Theta(1) + T(n-1) + \Theta(n)$
= $T(n-1) + \Theta(n)$
= $\Theta(n^2)$ (arithmetic series)





T(n) = T(0) + T(n-1) + cn

T(n)







CN c(n-1)T(0) T(n-2)















Best-case analysis (For intuition only!)

If we're lucky, PARTITION splits the array evenly: $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$ $= \Theta(n \lg n) \quad (\text{same as merge sort})$

What if the split is always $\frac{1}{10}$: $\frac{9}{10}$?

 $T(n) = T\left(\frac{1}{10}n\right) + T\left(\frac{9}{10}n\right) + \Theta(n)$

What is the solution to this recurrence?



T(n)















September 21, 2005Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. LeisersonL4.32



More intuition

Suppose we alternate lucky, unlucky, lucky, unlucky, lucky, $L(n) = 2U(n/2) + \Theta(n) \quad lucky$ $U(n) = L(n-1) + \Theta(n) \quad unlucky$

Solving:

 $L(n) = 2(L(n/2 - 1) + \Theta(n/2)) + \Theta(n)$ = $2L(n/2 - 1) + \Theta(n)$ = $\Theta(n \lg n)$ Lucky!

How can we make sure we are usually lucky?



Randomized quicksort

- **IDEA**: Partition around a *random* element.
- Running time is independent of the input order.
- No assumptions need to be made about the input distribution.
- No specific input elicits the worst-case behavior.
- The worst case is determined only by the output of a random-number generator.



Randomized quicksort analysis

Let T(n) = the random variable for the running time of randomized quicksort on an input of size *n*, assuming random numbers are independent.

For k = 0, 1, ..., n-1, define the *indicator* random variable

 $X_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if PARTITION generates a } k : n-k-1 \text{ split,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

 $E[X_k] = \Pr\{X_k = 1\} = 1/n$, since all splits are equally likely, assuming elements are distinct.



Analysis (continued)

 $T(n) = \begin{cases} T(0) + T(n-1) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } 0: n-1 \text{ split,} \\ T(1) + T(n-2) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } 1: n-2 \text{ split,} \\ \vdots \\ T(n-1) + T(0) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n-1: 0 \text{ split,} \end{cases}$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n))$$



Calculating expectation

 $E[T(n)] = E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n))\right]$

Take expectations of both sides.


$$\begin{split} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E\left[X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big) \right] \end{split}$$

Linearity of expectation.



$$\begin{split} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E\left[X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k] \cdot E[T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n)] \end{split}$$

Independence of X_k from other random choices.



$$\begin{split} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E\left[X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E\left[X_k \right] \cdot E\left[T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E\left[T(k) \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E\left[T(n-k-1) \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta(n) \end{split}$$

Linearity of expectation; $E[X_k] = 1/n$.



$$\begin{split} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k \big(T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n) \big)] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k] \cdot E[T(k) + T(n-k-1) + \Theta(n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[T(n-k-1)] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta(n) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \Theta(n) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \Theta(n) \\ &= \text{Summations have identical terms.} \end{split}$$



Hairy recurrence

$$E[T(n)] = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \Theta(n)$$

(The k = 0, 1 terms can be absorbed in the $\Theta(n)$.)

Prove: $E[T(n)] \le an \lg n$ for constant a > 0.

• Choose *a* large enough so that $an \lg n$ dominates E[T(n)] for sufficiently small $n \ge 2$.

Use fact:
$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k \lg k \le \frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2$$
 (exercise).



$$E[T(n)] \le \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ak \lg k + \Theta(n)$$

Substitute inductive hypothesis.



$$E[T(n)] \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ak \lg k + \Theta(n)$$
$$\leq \frac{2a}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8}n^2\right) + \Theta(n)$$

Use fact.



$$E[T(n)] \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ak \lg k + \Theta(n)$$
$$\leq \frac{2a}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8}n^2\right) + \Theta(n)$$
$$= an \lg n - \left(\frac{an}{4} - \Theta(n)\right)$$

Express as *desired – residual*.

September 21, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson L4.45



$$E[T(n)] \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ak \lg k + \Theta(n)$$
$$= \frac{2a}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2 \right) + \Theta(n)$$
$$= an \lg n - \left(\frac{an}{4} - \Theta(n) \right)$$

 $\leq an \lg n$,

if *a* is chosen large enough so that an/4 dominates the $\Theta(n)$.

I.4.46

September 21, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson



Quicksort in practice

- Quicksort is a great general-purpose sorting algorithm.
- Quicksort is typically over twice as fast as merge sort.
- Quicksort can benefit substantially from *code tuning*.
- Quicksort behaves well even with caching and virtual memory.