Introduction to Algorithms 6.046J/18.401J



LECTURE 1 Analysis of Algorithms

- Insertion sort
- Asymptotic analysis
- Merge sort
- Recurrences

Prof. Charles E. Leiserson

September 7, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson



Analysis of algorithms

The theoretical study of computer-program performance and resource usage.

- What's more important than performance?
 - modularity
 - correctness
 - maintainability
 - functionality
 - robustness

- user-friendliness
- programmer time
- simplicity
- extensibility
- reliability



Why study algorithms and performance?

- Algorithms help us to understand *scalability*.
- Performance often draws the line between what is feasible and what is impossible.
- Algorithmic mathematics provides a *language* for talking about program behavior.
- Performance is the *currency* of computing.
- The lessons of program performance generalize to other computing resources.
- Speed is fun!

September 7, 2005Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. LeisersonL1.4



The problem of sorting

Input: sequence $\langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \rangle$ of numbers. *Output:* permutation $\langle a'_1, a'_2, ..., a'_n \rangle$ such that $a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \cdots \leq a'_n$.

Example: *Input:* 8 2 4 9 3 6 *Output:* 2 3 4 6 8 9

L1.5



Insertion sort

"pseudocode"

INSERTION-SORT (A, n) $\triangleright A[1 ... n]$ for $j \leftarrow 2$ to ndo $key \leftarrow A[j]$ $i \leftarrow j - 1$ while i > 0 and A[i] > keydo $A[i+1] \leftarrow A[i]$ $i \leftarrow i - 1$ A[i+1] = key



Insertion sort

 $\text{``pseudocode''} \begin{cases} \text{INSERTION-SORT}(A, n) \land A[1 \dots n] \\ \text{for } j \leftarrow 2 \text{ to } n \\ \text{do } key \leftarrow A[j] \\ i \leftarrow j - 1 \\ \text{while } i > 0 \text{ and } A[i] > key \\ \text{do } A[i+1] \leftarrow A[i] \\ i \leftarrow i - 1 \\ A[i+1] = key \end{cases}$





8 2 4 9 3 6



8 2 4 9 3 6



































September 7, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson L1.18



Running time

- The running time depends on the input: an already sorted sequence is easier to sort.
- Parameterize the running time by the size of the input, since short sequences are easier to sort than long ones.
- Generally, we seek upper bounds on the running time, because everybody likes a guarantee.



Kinds of analyses

Worst-case: (usually)

• *T*(*n*) = maximum time of algorithm on any input of size *n*.

Average-case: (sometimes)

- *T*(*n*) = expected time of algorithm over all inputs of size *n*.
- Need assumption of statistical distribution of inputs.
- **Best-case:** (bogus)
 - Cheat with a slow algorithm that works fast on *some* input.



Machine-independent time

What is insertion sort's worst-case time?

- It depends on the speed of our computer:
 - relative speed (on the same machine),
 - absolute speed (on different machines).

BIG IDEA:

- Ignore machine-dependent constants.
- Look at *growth* of T(n) as $n \to \infty$.

"Asymptotic Analysis"



O-notation

Math: $\Theta(g(n)) = \{ f(n) : \text{there exist positive constants } c_1, c_2, \text{ and}$ $n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \le c_1 g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2 g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0 \}$

Engineering:

- Drop low-order terms; ignore leading constants.
- Example: $3n^3 + 90n^2 5n + 6046 = \Theta(n^3)$



Asymptotic performance

When *n* gets large enough, a $\Theta(n^2)$ algorithm *always* beats a $\Theta(n^3)$ algorithm.



- We shouldn't ignore asymptotically slower algorithms, however.
- Real-world design situations often call for a careful balancing of engineering objectives.
- Asymptotic analysis is a useful tool to help to structure our thinking.

September 7, 2005Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. LeisersonL1.23



Insertion sort analysis

Worst case: Input reverse sorted. $T(n) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \Theta(j) = \Theta(n^2) \quad \text{[arithmetic series]}$ Average case: All permutations equally likely. $T(n) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \Theta(j/2) = \Theta(n^2)$

Is insertion sort a fast sorting algorithm?

- Moderately so, for small *n*.
- Not at all, for large *n*.

September 7, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson L1.24



Merge sort

MERGE-SORT A[1 ... n]1. If n = 1, done. 2. Recursively sort $A[1 ... \lceil n/2 \rceil]$ and $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 ... n]$.

3. "*Merge*" the 2 sorted lists.

Key subroutine: MERGE



- 20 12
- 13 11
- 7 9





- 20 12
- 13 11
- 7 9















































Time = $\Theta(n)$ to merge a total of *n* elements (linear time).



Analyzing merge sort

T(n)Abuse

MERGE-SORT A $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ . \\ . \\ n \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{array}{c|c} \Theta(1) & 1. & 11 & n - 1, & \dots \\ \hline 2T(n/2) & 2. & \text{Recursively sort } A[1 \dots [n/2]] \\ \hline 1 & A[[n/2] \bot 1 \dots n]. \end{array}$ 3. "Merge" the 2 sorted lists

Sloppiness: Should be $T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$, but it turns out not to matter asymptotically.



Recurrence for merge sort

 $T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) \text{ if } n = 1; \\ 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n) \text{ if } n > 1. \end{cases}$

- We shall usually omit stating the base case when $T(n) = \Theta(1)$ for sufficiently small *n*, but only when it has no effect on the asymptotic solution to the recurrence.
- CLRS and Lecture 2 provide several ways to find a good upper bound on *T*(*n*).



































Solve T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn, where c > 0 is constant.



September 7, 2005 Copyright © 2001-5 by Erik D. Demaine and Charles E. Leiserson L1.50







Conclusions

- $\Theta(n \lg n)$ grows more slowly than $\Theta(n^2)$.
- Therefore, merge sort asymptotically beats insertion sort in the worst case.
- In practice, merge sort beats insertion sort for n > 30 or so.
- Go test it out for yourself!