

Νευρο-Ασαφής Υπολογιστική Neuro-Fuzzy Computing

Διδάσκων – Δημήτριος Κατσαρός

@ Τμ. ΗΜΜΥΠανεπιστήμιο Θεσσαλίας

1



Fuzzy Subset Theory

Basic set-theoretic operations

The concept of a fuzzy subset

Let **E** be a set denumerable or not, and let x be an element of **E**. A *fuzzy subset* \utilde{A} of **E** is a set of ordered pairs: $\{(x|\mu_{\underline{A}}(x))\}, \forall x \in E$

where $\mu_{\text{utilde}\{A\}}(\mathbf{x})$ is a membership characteristic function that takes its values in a totally ordered set **M**, and which indicates the *degree* or *level of membership*. **M** will be called a *membership set*

- If **M**={0, 1}, the "fuzzy subset" \utilde{A} will be understood as an "ordinary subset"
- Cardinality of a fuzzy subset: $|\underline{A}| = \sum \mu_{\underline{A}}(x)$
- Examples:
 - I. The fuzzy subset of numbers x approximately equal to a given real number n

 $x \in E$

- II. The fuzzy subset of integers near to 0
- III. The fuzzy subset of integers very near to $\mathbf{0}$

The concept of a fuzzy subset

Examples:

IV.The fuzzy subset $\underset{\widetilde{\Sigma}}{A}$ of real numbers close to 10

V. The fuzzy subset \underline{B} of real numbers significantly

larger than 10

$$\mu_{\widetilde{\alpha}}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + (x - 10)^2}$$

$$\mu_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \le 10\\ \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{(x - 10)^2}} & x > 10 \end{cases}$$



Real numbers who are close to 10 *fuzzy-and* significantly larger than 10

Inclusion: We say that \utilde{A} is included in \utilde{B} if $\forall x \in E : \mu_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq \mu_{\underline{B}}(x)$ denoted as $\underline{A} \subset \underline{B}$ or $\underline{A} \subset \underline{B}$ We can write: $E \subseteq E$

• <u>Strict inclusion</u>: If for at least one x, it holds that: $\mu_{\operatorname{utilde}\{A\}}(x) < \mu_{\operatorname{utilde}\{B\}}(x)$

denoted as $\underline{A} \subset \subseteq \underline{B}$

• Equality:

 $\forall x \in E : \mu_{\underline{A}}(x) = \mu_{\underline{B}}(x) \text{ denoted as } \underline{A} = \underline{B}$

• **<u>Complementation</u>** (actually, it is *pseudo-complementation*):

 $\forall x \in E : \mu_{\underline{B}}(x) = 1 - \mu_{\underline{A}}(x) \text{ denoted as } \underline{B} = \overline{\underline{A}}$ It holds that: $\overline{(\overline{\underline{A}})} = A$

Intersection: This is the *fuzzy* and

 $\forall x \in E: \mu_{\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}}(x) = MIN\{\mu_{\underline{A}}(x), \mu_{\underline{B}}(x)\} \quad \text{denoted as} \ \ \underline{A} \cap \underline{B}$

• **<u>Union</u>**: This is the *fuzzy or/and*

 $\forall x \in E: \mu_{\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}}(x) = MAX\{\mu_{\underline{A}}(x), \mu_{\underline{B}}(x)\} \text{ denoted as } \underline{A} \cup \underline{B}$

- **<u>Disjunctive sum</u>**: This is the *fuzzy disjunctive or* $\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B} = (\underline{A} \cap \overline{\underline{B}}) \cup (\overline{\underline{A}} \cap \underline{B})$
- <u>Difference</u>:

$$\underline{A} - \underline{B} = \underline{A} \cap \overline{\underline{B}}$$

Generalized Hamming distance:

$$d(\underline{A},\underline{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_{\underline{A}}(x_i) - \mu_{\underline{B}}(x_i)|$$

Euclidean distance or Quadratic distance:

$$e(\underline{A},\underline{B}) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mu_{\underline{A}}(x_i) - \mu_{\underline{B}}(x_i)\right)^2}$$

• <u>Generalized relative Hamming distance</u>:

$$\delta(\underline{A},\underline{B}) = \frac{d(\underline{A},\underline{B})}{n}$$

• <u>Relative Euclidean distance</u>:

$$\epsilon(\underline{A},\underline{B}) = \frac{e(\underline{A},\underline{B})}{\sqrt{n}}$$

The ordinary subset nearest to a fuzzy subset:

$$\mu_{\underline{A}}(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{if } \mu_{\underline{A}}(x_i) < 0.5$$
$$= 1 \quad \text{if } \mu_{\underline{A}}(x_i) > 0.5$$
$$= 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad \text{if } \mu_A(x_i) = 0.5$$

- <u>Index of fuzziness</u>:
 - Linear index of fuzziness

$$\nu(\underline{A}) = \frac{2}{n} d(\underline{A}, \underline{\underline{A}})$$

• Quadratic index of fuzziness:

$$\eta(\underline{A}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} e(\underline{A}, \underline{\underline{A}})$$

Properties concerning the nearest ordinary subset:

$$\underline{\underline{A}\cap\underline{B}}=\underline{\underline{A}}\cap\underline{\underline{B}}$$

$\underline{\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}} = \underline{\underline{A}} \cup \underline{\underline{B}}$

$$\forall x_i \in E : |\mu_{\underline{A}}(x_i) - \mu_{\underline{A}}(x_i)| = \mu_{\underline{A} \cap \overline{\underline{A}}}(x_i)$$

• One sometimes calls the fuzzy subset whose membership function is $2\mu_{A\cap\overline{A}}(x)$ the vectorial indicator of fuzziness

Evaluation of *fuzziness through entropy*

• Recall the entropy of a system comprised by N states:

$$\mathcal{H}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \times ln(p_i)$$

- minimum value= 0, maximum value= ln(N)
- Thus, the above equation in [0,1] becomes a measure of fuzziness:

$$\mathcal{H}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N) = -\frac{1}{\ln(N)} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \times \ln(p_i)$$

• Explanation through an example:

$$\mu_{\underline{A}}(x_1) = 0.7, \ \ \mu_{\underline{A}}(x_2) = 0.9, \ \ \mu_{\underline{A}}(x_3) = 0.0,$$

$$\mu_{\underline{A}}(x_4) = 0.6, \ \ \mu_{\underline{A}}(x_5) = 0.5, \ \ \mu_{\underline{A}}(x_6) = 1,$$

Putting:

$$\tau_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_i) = \frac{\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{6} \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_i)}$$

• We get:

$$\pi_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_1) = \frac{7}{37}, \quad \pi_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_2) = \frac{9}{37}, \quad \pi_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_3) = 0.0,$$
$$\pi_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_4) = \frac{6}{37}, \quad \pi_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_5) = \frac{5}{37}, \quad \pi_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x_6) = \frac{10}{37}$$

Therefore:

$$\mathcal{H}(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_6) = -\frac{1}{\ln(6)} \sum_{i=1}^6 \pi_{\underline{A}}(x_i) \times \ln(\pi_{\underline{A}}(x_i)) = \dots = 0.89$$

Entropy may be used in the theory of fuzzy subsets, but it is not a good indicator

<u>Ordinary subset of level α</u>:

• For α ∈ [0,1]

$$A_{\alpha} = \{ x | \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x) \ge \alpha \}$$

• Important property:

$$\alpha_2 \ge \alpha_1 \Rightarrow A_{a_2} \subset A_{a_1}$$

• Decomposition theorem: Any fuzzy subset $\t A$ can be decomposed as products of ordinary subsets by the coefficients α_i

$$\underbrace{A}_{\alpha_{i}} = \max_{\alpha_{i}} [\alpha_{1} \times A_{\alpha_{1}}, \alpha_{2} \times A_{\alpha_{2}}, \dots, \alpha_{n} \times A_{\alpha_{n}}],$$

$$0 < \alpha_i \le 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Decomposition theorem proof

<u>Proof</u>: The proof is immediate:

$$\mu_{A_{\alpha_i}}(x) = 1, \text{ if } \mu_{\underline{A}}(x) \ge \alpha_i$$
$$\mu_{A_{\alpha_i}}(x) = 0, \text{ if } \mu_{\underline{A}}(x) < \alpha_i$$

• So, the membership function of $\times A$ may be written:

$$\mu(x) = \max_{\alpha_i} [\alpha_i A_{\alpha_i}]$$
$$= \max_{\alpha_i \le \mu_A(x)} [\alpha_i]$$
$$= \mu_A(x)$$



Decomposition theorem example



Set of fuzzy subsets for E and M finite

The powerset for a fuzzy subset

- If cardinality $[\mathbf{E}] = n$ and cardinality $[\mathbf{M}] = m$, then: $cardinality [\mathcal{P}(E)] = m^n$
- It is well known that the structure of a power set \mathcal{\utilde{P}}(E) of a set is a distributive and complementary lattice, that is, a *boolean lattice*. The set of fuzzy subsets \mathcal{\utilde{P}}(E), however, has the structure of a *vectorial lattice* that is distributive but not complimentary





Properties of the powerset of ordinary set

 $A \cap B = B \cap A$ $A \cup B = B \cup A$ $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$ $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$ $A \cap A = A$ $A \cup A = A$ $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ $A \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$ Law of contradiction $A \cup \overline{A} = E$ Law of excluded middle $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ $A \cup \emptyset = A$ $A \cap E = A$ $A \cup E = E$ $(\overline{A}) = A$ $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$



Properties of the set of fuzzy subsets

$ A \cap B = B \cap A \\ \widetilde{a} \cap \widetilde{b} = B \cap A \\ \widetilde{c} \cap \widetilde{b} = B \cap A $
$\begin{array}{c} A\cup B=B\cup A\\ \sim &\sim &\sim \\ \end{array}$
$(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$
$(\underset{\sim}{A}\cup\underset{\sim}{B})\cup\underset{\sim}{C}=\underset{\sim}{A}\cup(\underset{\sim}{B}\cup\underset{\sim}{C})$
$A \cap A = A$ $\sim \sim $
$A\cup A=A$
$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
$A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
$\mathop{A}\limits_{\sim}\cap \emptyset=\emptyset$
$\mathop{A}\limits_{\sim}\cup \emptyset = \mathop{A}\limits_{\sim}$
$A \cap E = A$
$\mathop{A}\limits_{\sim} \cup E = E$
$\overline{(\overline{A})} = A$
$\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$
$\widetilde{\overline{A\cup B}} = \widetilde{\overline{A}} \cap \widetilde{\overline{B}}$
\sim \sim \sim \sim

(18)	
(19)	commutativity properties
(20)	associativity properties
(21)	associativity properties
(22)	idampatanaa
(23)	Idempotence
(24)	distributivity of intersection with
(25)	respect to union, and of union with respect to intersection
(26)	
(20)	where \varnothing is the ordinary set,
(27)	such that $\mu_{\emptyset}(x_i)=0, \forall x_i$
(28)	where E is the ordinary set,
(29)	such that $\mu_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}_i)=1, \forall \mathbf{x}_i$
(30)	involution
(31)	
(32)	De Morgan's theorems

Properties of the set of fuzzy subsets

- We see that all properties (1)-(17) are satisfied except from (9) and (10)
- One may define a unique complement, but the properties
 (9) and (10) hold only for ordinary subsets
- Thus, we stress: All properties of an ordinary power set are found again in a power set of fuzzy subsets, except (9) and (10). Thus, we no longer have an *algebra* in the sense of the theory of ordinary sets; the structure is that of a *vector lattice*

Algebraic product and sum of two fuzzy subsets

Algebraic product: E be an ordinary set and M=[0, 1]

 $\forall x \in E: \mu_{\underline{A},\underline{B}}(x) = \mu_{\underline{A}}(x) \times \mu_{\underline{B}}(x) \quad \text{denoted as} \quad \underline{A}.\underline{B}$

• <u>Algebraic sum</u>:

 $\forall x \in E: \mu_{\underline{A} \stackrel{\circ}{+} \underline{\mathcal{B}}}(x) = \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x) + \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{B}}}(x) - \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x) \times \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{B}}}(x) \quad \text{denoted as} \quad \underline{\mathcal{A}} \stackrel{\circ}{+} \underline{\mathcal{B}}$

- One important remark:
 - If $M=\{0, 1\}$, i.e., we are in the case of ordinary subsets, then

 $A \cap B = A.B$ $A \cup B = A + B$



• Only the above properties are verified. Idempotence [(5) and (6)], distributivity [(7) and (8)], and of course (9) and (10) are not satisfied

Algebraic product and sum of two fuzzy subsets

Note that \cup is not distributive with respect to . or $\hat{+}$, and likewise \cap , but on the other hand one has:

$$\begin{array}{l}
A.(B \cap C) = (A.B) \cap (A.C) \\
A.(B \cup C) = (A.B) \cup (A.C) \\
A.(B \cup C) = (A.B) \cup (A.C) \\
A.(B \cap C) = (A+B) \cap (A+C) \\
A.(A \cap C) = (A+B) \cap (A+C) \\
A.(A \cap C) = (A+B) \cup (A$$

A

Algebraic product and sum of two fuzzy subsets

- Let us prove (42):
 - Suppose that $\mu_A(x)=a$ and $\mu_B(x)=b$
 - The left part gives: 1-ab
 - The right part gives: (1-a)+(1-b)-(1-a)(1-b)= 1-a+1-b-1ab+a+b= 1-ab
 - Thus, the two parts are alike
- Let us disprove that distributivity holds, i.e., that $\underline{A}.(\underline{B}+\underline{C}) \neq (\underline{A}.\underline{B})+(\underline{A}.\underline{C})$
 - The left part gives: a (b+c-bc)= ab +ac -abc
 - The right part gives: $ab + ac abac = ab + ac a^{2}bc$

Fuzzy relation

Example 1

- $E_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$
- $E_2 = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5\}$
- M= [0, 1]

1	<i>y</i> ₁	y 2	<i>y</i> ₃	<i>y</i> ₄	y 5
/ x ₁	0	0	0,1	0,3	1
<i>x</i> ₂	0	0,8	0	0	1
x 3	0,4	0,4	0,5	0	0,2

- Example 2
 - $E_1 = E_2 = R$
 - H $\sigma_X \dot{\epsilon} \sigma_\eta$: y << x is a fuzzy relation

$$\mu_{R^2}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \ge x \\ \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{(x-y)^2}} & y < x \end{cases}$$

Projection of a fuzzy relation

- *First projection* of \utilde{*R*}
- Second projection of \tilde{R}
- The second projection of the first projection (or vice versa) will be called the *global projection*

$$\mu_{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)}(x) = \underset{y}{V} \mu_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y)$$
$$\mu_{\mathcal{R}}^{(2)}(y) = \underset{x}{V} \mu_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y)$$
$$h(\mathcal{R}) = \underset{x}{V} \underset{y}{V} \mu_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y)$$
$$= \underset{y}{V} \underset{\sim}{V} \mu_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y)$$

If $h(\operatorname{utilde} \{R\}) = 1$, the relation is said to be *normal*. If $h(\operatorname{utilde} \{R\}) < 1$, the relation is called *subnormal*.

R	<i>y</i> ₁	<i>y</i> ₂	Уз	<i>y</i> ₄	l st proj.
x1	0,1	0,2	1	0,3	1
x2	0,6	0,8	0	0,1	0,8
x3	0	1	0,3	0,6	1
<i>x</i> ₄	0,8	0,1	1	0	1
xs	0,9	0,7	0	0,5	0,9
x ₆	0,9	0	0,3	0,7	0,9
	1200				
proj.	0,9	1	1	0,7	1
					global projection

Projection of a fuzzy relation: Example 2

x and y are very near to one another:

$$\mu_R(x,y) = e^{-k(y-x)^2}, \quad k > 1$$

• For a fixed value x₀:

$$u_{R}^{(1)}(x_{0}) = V_{y} \mu_{R}(x_{0}, y)$$

= $V_{y} e^{-k(y-x_{0})^{2}}$
= $e^{-k(y-x_{0})^{2}}$ for $y = x_{0}$
= 1



Union of two fuzzy relations



Intersection of two fuzzy relations

 \sim

$$\mu_{R \cap Q}(x, y) = \mu_{R}(x, y) \land \mu_{Q}(x, y)$$
$$= \min[\mu_{R}(x, y), \mu_{Q}(x, y)]$$





Algebraic product of two fuzzy relations

 $\mu_{R.Q}(x,y) = \mu_R(x,y).\mu_Q(x,y)$ $\sim \sim$







Distributivity property

Algebraic sum of two fuzzy relations

$$\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}+\underline{\mathcal{Q}}}(x,y) = \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}(x,y) + \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{Q}}}(x,y) - \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}(x,y) \cdot \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{Q}}}(x,y)$$

• The complement of a relation:

$$\mu_{\overline{\underline{R}}}(x,y) = 1 - \mu_{\underline{R}}(x,y)$$

Disjunctive sum of two fuzzy relations

$$\underline{R} \oplus \underline{Q} = (\underline{R} \cap \underline{Q}) \cup (\underline{R} \cap \underline{Q})$$









Composition of two fuzzy relations

Max-min composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{R \circ Q}(x, z) &= \bigvee_{y} [\mu_{R}(x, y) \wedge \mu_{Q}(y, z)] \\ &\sim \sum_{\sim} \\ &= \max_{y} \left[\min \left\{ \mu_{R}(x, y), \mu_{Q}(y, z) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

• <u>Max-star composition</u>: we may replace the operation \land with another, under the restriction that one uses an operation that is associative and monotone nondecreasing in each argument. Then:

$$\mu_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^*\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}(x,z) = \bigvee_y [\mu_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(x,y) * \mu_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}(y,z)]$$

• <u>Max-product composition</u>: among the max-star compositions, max-product is particularly interesting, where instead of star it uses the usual product operation

$$\mu_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}},\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}(x,z) = \bigvee_{y} [\mu_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}}(x,y).\mu_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}(y,z)]$$

Composition of fuzzy relations: Example

2	R	\mathbf{y}_1	\mathbf{y}_2	\mathbf{y}_3	\mathbf{y}_4	${f y}_5$	Q_{\sim})	\mathbf{z}_1	\mathbf{z}_2	\mathbf{z}_3	\mathbf{z}_4
	$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_1}$	0.1	0.2	0	1	0.7	\mathbf{y}_1	L	0.9	0	0.3	0.4
	\mathbf{x}_2	0.3	0.5	0	0.2	1	\mathbf{y}_2	2	0.2	1	0.8	0
	\mathbf{x}_3	0.8	0	1	0.4	0.3	y;	3	0.8	0	0.7	1
Eκκινώ με (x,z)=(x ₁ ,z ₁) y_4 0.4 0.2 0.3 0									0			
$\min(\mu_R(x_1, y_1), \mu_Q(y_1, z_1))$							y:	5	0	1	0	0.8
$= \min(0.1, 0.9)$ = 0.1	max	$x[\min(\mu$	$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (x_1, y)$	$(\mu_i), \mu_Q($	$(y_i, z_1))$]						
$\min_{\sim}(\mu_R(x_1,y_2),\mu_Q(y_2,z_1))$		$= \max$	x(0.1, 0)	.2, 0, 0.	(4, 0)							
$= \min(0.2, 0.2)$ = 0.2		= 0.4			. ,	$\frac{R}{2} \circ Q$)	\mathbf{z}_1	\mathbf{Z}_{2}	2	z ₃	\mathbf{z}_4
$\min_{\sim}(\mu_R(x_1,y_3),\mu_Q(y_3,z_1))$						x ₁	\rightarrow	0.4	0.	7 ().3	0.7
$= \min(0, 0.8)$ = 0						\mathbf{x}_2		0.3	1	. ().5	0.8
$\min_{\sim}(\mu_R(x_1, y_4), \mu_Q(y_4, z_1))$						\mathbf{x}_{3}		0.8	0.	3 ().7	1
$= \min(1, 0.4)$												
= 0.4 min($\mu_R(x_1, y_5), \mu_Q(y_5, z_1)$)												
$\widetilde{} = \min(0.7, 0)$												
= 0												

Fuzzy vector-matrix multiplication

A					$\underbrace{B}{\approx}$			
\sim	0.3	0.4	0.8	1		0.2	0.8	0.7
						0.7	0.6	0.6
						0.8	0.1	0.5
						0	0.2	0.3

$$\{\underline{A} \circ \underline{B}\}_j = \max_{1 \le i \le n} [\min(a_i, b_{i,j})]$$

Becall (from your Discrete Mathematics course) the concepts of modus ponens and modus tollens as inference rules (κανόνες συμπεράσματος): <u>Modus ponens</u> (κανών αποσπάσεως): $p \land (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q$ <u>Modus tollens</u> (κανών συλλογισμού αρνητικής μορφής): $(p \Rightarrow q) \land !q \Rightarrow !p$

<u>Exercise 1</u>. Let $t: S \rightarrow [0,1]$ be a continuous or "fuzzy" truth function on the set S of statements. Define the implication operator as the truth function $t_L(A \rightarrow B) = \min(1, 1 - t(A) + t(B))$ for statements A and B. Then prove the following generalized fuzzy *modus ponens* inference rule:

	$t_{\rm L}({\rm A}{\rightarrow}{\rm B})$	=	С
	t(A)	\geq	α
Therefore	t(B)	\geq	$max(0, \alpha+c-1)$

Hence, if $t(A) = t_L(A \rightarrow B) = 1$, then t(B) = 1, which generalizes classical bivalent *modus ponens*.

<u>Exercise 2</u>. Use the multivalued logic operations of the previous problem to prove the following generalized *modus tollens* inference rule:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} t_{\rm L}({\rm A}{\rightarrow}{\rm B}) &= & {\rm c} \\ t({\rm B}) &\leq & {\rm b} \end{array}$ Therefore $t({\rm A}) &\leq & \min(1,\,1{-}{\rm c}{+}{\rm b})$ Hence, if $t_{\rm L}({\rm A}{\rightarrow}{\rm B}){=}\,1$ and $t({\rm B}){=}0$, then $t({\rm A}){=}0$, which generalizes classical bivalent modus tollens.

Exercise 3. Define the Gaines implication operator as:

$$t_G(A \to B) = \begin{cases} \min(1, t(B)/t(A)) & \text{if } t(A) > 0\\ 1 & \text{if } t(A) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Use the Gaines implication operator $t_G(A \rightarrow B)$ to derive fuzzy *modus* ponens and *modus tollens* inference rules. The conclusions of the inference rules should differ from the conclusions of the inference rules in the previous two exercises.

<u>Exercise 4</u>. Prove the following properties:

a) $\underline{A} \cap (\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}) = \underline{A}$ and $\underline{A} \cup (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) = \underline{A} = \underline{A}$ b) $\emptyset \subset \underline{A} \cap \overline{\underline{A}} \subset \underline{A} \cup \overline{\underline{A}} \subset E$ c) $(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \cup (\underline{B} \cap \underline{C}) \cup (\underline{C} \cap \underline{A}) = (\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}) \cap (\underline{B} \cup \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{C} \cup \underline{A})$

Exercise 5. Simplify the expression:

 $[\underline{A} \cap [(\underline{B} \cap \underline{C}) \cup (\overline{\underline{A}} \cap \overline{\underline{C}})]] \cup \overline{\underline{C}}$

<u>Exercise 6</u>. Consider the reference set $E = [0, \alpha] \subset R$. If \utilde{A} is the fuzzy subset defined by:

$$\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(x) = \frac{x^2}{\alpha^2}, \ x \in [0, \alpha]$$

then give the linear index of fuzziness of \hat{A} .

Exercise 7. Define the ordinary subset of level a in a fuzzy relation exactly the same way we did for the fuzzy subsets. Then, for the fuzzy relation defined in \Re^2 by:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{R}}(x,y) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + x^2 + y^2}$$

calculate the (ordinary) subset of level 0.3. Provide also its geometrical interpretation.